



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF : Lars BIRKE
FOR : LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY WITH
REFLECTIVE SUPPORT MEMBER
AND HEATING DEVICE
SERIAL NO. : 09/979,582
FILED : March 14, 2002
EXAMINER : M.H. Caley
ART UNIT : 2882
CONFIRMATION NO. : 5033
LAST OFFICE ACTION : June 4, 2003
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. : TRWZ 2 00273

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.10

"Express Mail" Mailing Label Number: EL 998014947 US

Date of Deposit: February 25, 2004

I hereby certify that the attached ***Issue Fee Transmittal Form, Issue Fee, and Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance*** are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to: MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Barbara Brazier
Barbara Brazier



EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

Express Mail" EL 998014947 US
Date of Deposit: February 25, 2004

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the
United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"
service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed
to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By Barbara Brazier
Barbara Brazier

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF : Lars BIRKE
FOR : **LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY WITH
REFLECTIVE SUPPORT MEMBER AND
HEATING DEVICE**
SERIAL NO. : 09/979,582
FILED : March 14, 2002
EXAMINER : M.H. Caley
ART UNIT : 2882
CONFIRMATION NO. : 5033
LAST OFFICE ACTION : June 4, 2003
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. : **TRWZ 2 00273**
Cleveland, OH 44114

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

MAIL STOP Issue Fee
Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the indication as to
the allowance of the present application.

However, applicant respectfully submits the Statements
of Reasons for Allowance are, in and of themselves,
inappropriate. It is noted that the reasons for allowance are


only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear his or her reasons for allowing a claim or claims." (37 CFR §1.104(e) (2001)). In the present case, applicant believes the record as a whole does make the reasons for allowance clear and, therefore, no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, the applicant does not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance.

Specifically, it has been indicated that the claims are allowed by importing interpretations into the claims in relation to the prior art that results in a potential imprecise and/or inaccurate understanding of the reasons. This places an unwarranted interpretation upon the claims. Such a characterization of the claims does not properly take into account applicant's claimed invention as reflected in the specification and the applicant's responses to the Examiner's Office Actions.

Therefore, while applicant believes the claims are allowable, applicant does not acquiesce that patentability resides in only the features, exactly as expressed in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,
MINNICH, & MCKEE, LLP


Michael E. Hudzinski
Reg. No. 34,185
1100 Superior Avenue
Seventh Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2518
(216) 861-5582